CLERK

MONROE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

INDEX NO. E2024003231

THIS IS NOT A BILL. THIS IS YOUR RECEIPT.

Receipt # 3749734

Book Page CIVIL

Return To:

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RANDI ALYSON KASSAN

No. Pages: 17

Instrument: EFILING INDEX NUMBER

Control #: 202402201857 Index #: E2024003231

Date: 02/20/2024

Time: 1:31:43 PM Vongnaphone, Victoria

Sensio, Inc.

State Fee Index Number \$165.00 \$26.00 County Fee Index Number State Fee Cultural Education \$14.25

State Fee Records \$4.75 Employee: RR

Management

Total Fees Paid: \$210.00

State of New York

MONROE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE WARNING - THIS SHEET CONSTITUTES THE CLERKS ENDORSEMENT, REQUIRED BY SECTION 317-a(5) & SECTION 319 OF THE REAL PROPERTY LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK. DO NOT DETACH OR REMOVE.

JAMIE ROMEO

MONROE COUNTY CLERK



NEW YORK OTATE CURRENTE COURT

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

C| **202402201857** E2024003231

COUNTY OF MONROE	
VICTORIA VONGNAPHONE,	Index No.:
Plaintiff,	
- against -	COMPLAINT
SENSIO, INC.,	COMPLAINT
<i>Defendant.</i>	

Plaintiff, by and through her attorneys, JOHNSON BECKER, PLLC and MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, LLC, upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

- 1. Defendant Sensio, Inc. d/b/a Bella ("Defendant Bella" or "Defendant") designs, manufactures, markets, imports, distributes, and sells a wide range of consumer kitchen products, including the subject "Bella 6qt 10 in 1 Multicooker," which specifically includes the Model Number M-60B23G (referred to hereafter as "pressure cooker(s)") that is at issue in this case.
- 2. Defendant boasts that its pressure cookers feature a "[s]afety locking lid [that] unlocks only once pressure is released." Despite Defendant's claims of "safety," it designed, manufactured, marketed, imported, distributed and sold, both directly and through third-party retailers, a product that suffers from serious and dangerous defects. Said defects cause significant risk of bodily harm and injury to its consumers.

¹ See https://bellahousewares.com/products-bella/6qt-pressure-cooker-touch-pad/

² See Bella 6qt 10 in 1 Multicooker Model Number M-60B23G Owner's Manual, pg.4, attached hereto as Exhibit A an incorporated by reference.

C| 202402201857 E2024003231

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

3. Specifically, said defects manifest themselves when, despite Defendant's statements, the lid of the pressure cooker is removable with built-up pressure, heat and steam still inside the unit. When the lid is removed under such circumstances, the pressure trapped within the unit causes the scalding hot contents to be projected from the unit and into the surrounding area, including onto the unsuspecting consumers, their families and other bystanders. The Plaintiff was able to remove the lid while the pressure cooker retained pressure, causing Plaintiff serious and substantial bodily injuries and damages.

- 4. On August 10th, 2023, the Consumer Products Safety Commission ("CPSC") announced a recall of more than 860,000 of Defendants' pressure cookers, which includes the subject pressure cooker, after receiving "63 reports of incidents, <u>including 61 burn injuries</u>, some of which involved <u>second and third degree burns to the face, torso, arms, and hands</u>."³
- 5. Defendant knew or should have known of these defects but have nevertheless put profit ahead of safety by continuing to sell its pressure cookers to consumers, failing to warn said consumers of the serious risks posed by the defects, and failing to timely recall the dangerously defective pressure cookers regardless of the risk of significant injuries to Plaintiff and consumers like her.
- 6. Defendant ignored and/or concealed their knowledge of these defects in its pressure cookers from Plaintiffs in this case, as well as the public in general, in order to continue generating a profit from the sale of said pressure cookers, demonstrating a callous, reckless, willful, depraved indifference to the health, safety and welfare of Plaintiff and others like her.

³ See https://www.cpsc.gov/Recalls/2023/Sensio-Recalls-Bella-Bella-Pro-Series-Cooks-and-Crux-Electric-and-Stovetop-Pressure-Cookers-Due-to-Burn-Hazard (last accessed February 9, 2024)

C| **202402201857** E2024003231

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

7. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff incurred significant and painful bodily injuries, medical expenses, physical pain, mental anguish, and diminished enjoyment of life.

PLAINTIFF VICTORIA VONGNAPHONE

- 8. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff, VICTORIA VONGNAPHONE, was and still is a natural person who is a citizen of the State of New York, domiciled within the City of North Chili, County of Monroe, State of New York.
- On or about December 23, 2023, Plaintiff suffered serious and substantial burn injuries as 9. the direct and proximate result of the Pressure Cooker's lid being able to be rotated and opened while the Pressure Cooker was still under pressure, during the normal, directed use of the Pressure Cooker, allowing its scalding hot contents to be forcefully ejected from the Pressure Cooker and onto Plaintiff. The incident occurred as a result of the failure of the Pressure Cooker's supposed "safety" features, which purport to keep the consumer safe while using the Pressure Cooker. In addition, the incident occurred as the result of Defendant's failure to redesign the Pressure Cooker, despite the existence of economical, safer alternative designs.

DEFENDANT SENSIO, INC.

- 10. Defendant, SENSIO, INC., designs, manufactures, markets, imports, distributes and sells a variety of consumer kitchen products including pressure cookers, air fryers, and coffee makers, amongst others.
- 11. Upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant, SENSIO, INC., was and still is a domestic corporation duly organized and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business at 261 Madison Avenue, 25th Floor, New York, NY 10016.

C| **202402201857** E2024003231

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

12. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court in that, at all times relevant herein,

Defendant purposefully availed itself of the privilege of conducting business in, transacted

business in, and regularly caused its products to be sold in the State of New York, including in

Monroe County.

13. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court in that this action arises out of business

transacted in, as well as tortious actions and/or omissions committed in whole or in part, within

the County of Monroe, State of New York.

14. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court in that Plaintiff is a resident and citizen of

the County of Monroe, State of New York.

15. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court in that the amount in controversy exceeds

the lower jurisdictional limit of this Court.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

16. Defendant is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, warranting, marketing,

importing, distributing, and selling the pressure cookers at issue in this litigation.

17. Defendant boasts that its pressure cookers feature a "[s]afety locking lid [that] unlocks only

once pressure is released."4

18. According to the Owner's Manual accompanying each individual unit sold, the pressure

cookers' "safety locking lid" purportedly keeps the lid of the pressure cooker from opening once

pressurize. Specifically:

a. For your safety the lid will not come off when it is in the LOCK position.⁵

⁴ See https://bellahousewares.com/products-bella/6qt-pressure-cooker-touch-pad/

⁵ See Bella 6qt 10 in 1 Multicooker Model Number M-60B23G Owner's Manual, pg.4

F41125918MONROE COUNTY CLERK 02/220/02/02/031111PM 8 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

CI **202402201857** E2024003231

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

19. By reason of the forgoing acts or omissions, Plaintiff used the pressure cooker with the

reasonable expectation that it was properly designed and manufactured, free from defects of any

kind, and that it was safe for its intended, foreseeable use of cooking.

20. Plaintiff used the pressure cooker for its intended purpose of preparing meals and did so in

a manner that was reasonable and foreseeable by the Defendant.

21. However, the aforementioned pressure cooker was defectively and negligently designed

and manufactured by the Defendant in that it failed to properly function as to prevent the lid from

being removed with normal force while the unit remained pressurized, despite the appearance that

all the pressure had been released, during the ordinary, foreseeable and proper use of cooking food

with the product; placing the Plaintiff, her family, and similar consumers in danger while using

the pressure cookers.

22. Defendant's pressure cookers possess defects that make them unreasonably dangerous for

their intended use by consumers because the lid can be rotated and opened while the unit remains

pressurized.

23. Further, Defendant's representations about "safety" are not just misleading, they are flatly

wrong, and put innocent consumers like Plaintiff directly in harm's way.

24. Economic, safer alternative designs were available that could have prevented the Pressure

Cooker's lid from being rotated and opened while pressurized.

25. Defendant knew or should have known that its pressure cookers possessed defects that pose

a serious safety risk to Plaintiff and the public. Nevertheless, Defendant continues to ignore and/or

conceal its knowledge of the pressure cookers' defects from the general public and continues to

generate a substantial profit from the sale of their pressure cookers, demonstrating a callous,

reckless, willful, depraved indifference to the health, safety and welfare of Plaintiff and others like

her.

5

CLERK COUNTY

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

C| **202402201857** E2024003231

26. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's intentional concealment of such defects, its failure to warn consumers of such defects, its negligent misrepresentations, its failure to remove a product with such defects from the stream of commerce, and its negligent design of such products, Plaintiff used an unreasonably dangerous pressure cooker, which resulted in significant and painful bodily injuries upon the simple removal of the lid of the pressure cooker.

27. Consequently, Plaintiff seeks damages resulting from the use of Defendant's pressure cooker as described above, which has caused the Plaintiff to suffer from serious bodily injuries, medical expenses, physical pain, mental anguish, diminished enjoyment of life, wage loss and other damages.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION STRICT LIABILITY

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set 28. forth fully at length herein.
- 29. At the time of Plaintiff's injuries, Defendant's Pressure Cookers were defective and unreasonably dangerous for use by foreseeable consumers, including Plaintiff.
- 30. Defendant's Pressure Cookers were in the same or substantially similar condition as when they left the possession of Defendant.
- 31. Plaintiff did not misuse or materially alter her respective Pressure Cooker.
- 32. The Pressure Cookers did not perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected them to perform when used in a reasonably foreseeable way.
- 33. Further, a reasonable person would conclude that the possibility and serious of harm outweighs the burden or cost of making the Pressure Cookers safe. Specifically:

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

a. The Pressure Cookers designed, manufactured, sold, and supplied by Defendant were defectively designed and placed into the stream of commerce in a defective and unreasonably dangerous condition for consumers;

- b. The seriousness of the potential burn injuries resulting from the product drastically outweighs any benefit that could be derived from its normal, intended use;
- c. Defendant failed to properly market, design, manufacture, distribute, supply, and sell the Pressure Cookers, despite having extensive knowledge that the aforementioned injuries could and did occur;
- d. Defendant failed to warn and place adequate warnings and instructions on the Pressure Cookers;
- e. Defendant failed to adequately test the Pressure Cookers; and
- f. Defendant failed to market an economically feasible alternative design, despite the existence of the aforementioned economical, safer alternatives, that could have prevented the Plaintiff' injuries and damages.
- 34. Defendant's actions and omissions were the direct and proximate cause of the Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for compensatory and punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENCE

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 36. Defendant has a duty of reasonable care to design, manufacture, market, and sell non-defective Pressure Cookers that are reasonably safe for their intended uses by consumers, such as Plaintiff and her family.
- 37. Defendant failed to exercise ordinary care in the manufacture, sale, warnings, quality assurance, quality control, distribution, advertising, promotion, sale and marketing of its Pressure

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

Cookers in that Defendant knew or should have known that said Pressure Cookers created a high risk of unreasonable harm to the Plaintiff and consumers alike.

- 38. Defendant was negligent in the design, manufacture, advertising, warning, marketing and sale of its Pressure Cookers in that, among other things, it:
 - a. Failed to use due care in designing and manufacturing the Pressure Cookers to avoid the aforementioned risks to individuals;
 - b. Placed an unsafe product into the stream of commerce;
 - c. Aggressively over-promoted and marketed its Pressure Cookers through television, social media, and other advertising outlets; and
 - d. Were otherwise careless or negligent.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT DESIGN DEFECT

- 39. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 40. Defendant is the manufacturer, seller, distributor, marketer, and supplier of the subject Pressure Cookers, which was negligently designed.
- 41. Defendant failed to exercise reasonable care in designing, developing, manufacturing, inspecting, testing, packaging, selling, distributing, labeling, marketing, and promoting its Pressure Cookers, which were defective and presented an unreasonable risk of harm to consumers, such as the Plaintiff.
- 42. As a result, the subject Pressure Cookers, including Plaintiff's Pressure Cooker, contain defects in their design which renders them unreasonably dangerous to consumers, such as the Plaintiff, when used as intended or as reasonably foreseeable to Defendant. The defect in the design allows consumers such as Plaintiff to open the lid while the unit remains pressurized, despite the

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

appearance that all the pressure has been released from the unit, and causes an unreasonable

increased risk of injury, including, but not limited to, first, second and third-degree scald burns.

- 43. Plaintiff in this case used her Pressure Cooker in a reasonably foreseeable manner and did so as substantially intended by Defendant.
- 44. The subject Pressure Cooker was not materially altered or modified after being manufactured by Defendant and before being used by Plaintiff.
- 45. The design defects allowing the lid to open while the unit was still pressurized directly rendered the Pressure Cookers defective and were the direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and failure to use reasonable care in designing, testing, manufacturing, and promoting the Pressure Cookers.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligent design of its Pressure Cookers, the Plaintiff in this case suffered injuries and damages described herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for compensatory and punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully herein.
- 48. At the time in which the Pressure Cooker was purchased, up through the time Plaintiff was injured, Defendant knew or had reason to know that its Pressure Cookers were dangerous and created an unreasonable risk of harm to consumers.
- 49. Defendant had a duty to exercise reasonable care to warn consumers of the dangerous conditions or the facts that made its Pressure Cookers likely to be dangerous.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

C| 202402201857 E2024003231

50. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligent failure to warn of the dangers of its Pressure Cookers, the Plaintiff in this case suffered injuries and damages described herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for compensatory and punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION **BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF FITNESS** FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE

- 51. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 52. Defendant manufactured, supplied, and sold its Pressure Cookers with an implied warranty that they were fit for the particular purpose of cooking quickly, efficiently and safely.
- 53. Members of the consuming public, including consumers such as the Plaintiff, were the intended third-party beneficiaries of the warranty.
- 54. Defendant's Pressure Cookers were not fit for the particular purpose as a safe means of cooking, due to the unreasonable risks of bodily injury associated with their use.
- 55. The Plaintiff in this case reasonably relied on Defendant's representations that its Pressure Cookers were a quick, effective and safe means of cooking.
- 56. Defendant's breach of the implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose was the direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for compensatory and punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper.

C| 202402201857 E2024003231 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY

57. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set

forth fully at length herein.

58. At the time Defendant marketed, distributed and sold its Pressure Cookers to the Plaintiff in this case, Defendant warranted that its Pressure Cookers were merchantable and fit for the

ordinary purposes for which they were intended.

59. Members of the consuming public, including consumers such as the Plaintiff, were

intended third-party beneficiaries of the warranty.

60. Defendant's Pressure Cookers were not merchantable and fit for its ordinary purpose,

because they had the propensity to lead to the serious personal injuries as described herein in this

Complaint.

61. Plaintiff purchased her Pressure Cooker with the reasonable expectation that it was

properly designed and manufactured, free from defects of any kind, and that they were safe for its

intended, foreseeable use of cooking.

62. Defendant's breach of implied warranty of merchantability was the direct and proximate

cause of Plaintiff's injury and damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for compensatory and

punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as

the Court deems proper.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION **PUNITIVE DAMAGES**

63. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in this Complaint as

though fully set forth herein.

F4LEB: MONROE COUNTY CLERK 02/20/2024 11 PM 8 AM C 2

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

C| 202402201857 E2024003231

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

64. The acts, conduct, and omissions of Defendant, as alleged throughout this Complaint, were

willful and malicious. It is unconscionable and outrageous that Defendant would risk the health,

safety, and well-being of consumers, including the Plaintiff in this case. Despite its knowledge that

the lid could be prematurely removed while the unit remained pressurized, Defendant made

conscious decisions not to redesign, despite the existence of an economically feasible, safer

alternative design, and not to adequately label, warn or inform the unsuspecting consuming public

about the dangers associated with the use of their pressure cookers. Defendants' outrageous

conduct rises to the level that Plaintiff should be awarded punitive damages to deter Defendant

from this type of outrageous conduct in the future, as well as to discourage other Defendants from

placing profit above the safety of consumers in the United States of America.

65. Prior to and during the manufacturing, sale, and distribution of its pressure cookers,

Defendant knew that said pressure cookers were in a defective condition as previously described

herein and knew that those who purchased and used their pressure cookers, including Plaintiff,

could experience severe physical, mental, and emotional injuries.

66. Further, Defendant knew that its pressure cookers presented a substantial and unreasonable

risk of harm to the public, including Plaintiff, and as such, Defendant unreasonably subjected

consumers of said pressure cookers to risk of serious and permanent injury from their use.

67. Despite its knowledge, Defendant, for the purpose of enhancing their profit, knowingly and

deliberately failed to remedy the known defects in their pressure cookers, and failed to warn the

public, including Plaintiff, of the extreme risk of injury occasioned by said defects inherent in

them. Defendant intentionally proceeded with the manufacturing, sale, distribution and marketing

of their pressure cookers knowing these actions would expose consumers, such as the Plaintiff, to

serious danger in order to advance their pecuniary interest and monetary profit.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

C| 202402201857 E2024003231

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

68. Defendants' conduct was despicable and so contemptible that it would be looked down upon and despised by ordinary decent people and was carried on by Defendants with willful and conscious disregard for the safety of the Plaintiff, her family, and consumers like them, entitling the Plaintiff to punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for compensatory and punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands that all issues of fact of this case be tried to a properly impaneled jury to the extent permitted under the law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendant for damages, to which she is entitled by law, as well as all costs of this action, interest and attorneys' fees, to the full extent of the law, whether arising under the common law and/or statutory law, including:

- a. judgment for Plaintiff and against Defendant;
- b. damages to compensate Plaintiff for her injuries, economic losses and pain and suffering sustained as a result of the use of the Defendant's Pressure cookers;
- c. pre and post judgment interest at the lawful rate;
- d. a trial by jury on all issues of the case;
- e. punitive damages on applicable counts, according to proof;
- f. an award of attorneys' fees; and
- g. for any other relief as this Court may deem equitable and just, or that may be available under the law of another forum to the extent the law of another forum is applied, including but not limited to all reliefs prayed for in this Complaint and in the foregoing Prayer for Relief.

F1125.018 MONROE COUNTY CLERK 02/20/20243111.48 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

Date: February 20, 2024

C| **202402201857** E2024003231

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

Respectfully submitted,

MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, LLC

/s/ Randi Kassan, Esq.
Randi Kassan, Esq.
100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500
Garden City, NY 11530
516-741-5600 / 516-741-0128 (fax)
Rkassan@milberg.com

In association with:

JOHNSON BECKER, PLLC

Adam J. Kress, Esq.

Pro Hac Vice to be filed

Anna R. Rick, Esq.

Pro Hac Vice to be filed

444 Cedar Street, Suite 1800

St. Paul, MN 55101

(612) 436-1800 /612-436-1801 (fax)

akress@johnsonbecker.com

arick@johnsonbecker.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FTLEB: 18 MONROE COUNTY CLERK 02/20/202431111 PM 8 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

C| **2024 02201857** E2024003231

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT COUNTY OF MONROE	37	
VICTORIA VONGNAPHONE,	X	Index No.:
Plaintiff,		
- against -		SUMMONS
SENSIO, INC.,		SUMMONS
Defendant.	v	
	·A	

To the above-named Defendant:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon the Plaintiff's attorney an answer to the complaint in this action within twenty (20) days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after service is complete if this summons is not delivered personally to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Date: February 20, 2024 /s/ Randi Kassan, Esq.

Randi Kassan, Esq.
MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS

GROSSMAN, LLC 100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, NY 11530

516-741-5600 / 516-741-0128 (fax)

Rkassan@milberg.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Victoria Vongnaphone

F112018MONROE COUNTY CLERK 02/20/202431111948 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

C| **202402201857** E2024003231

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2024

TO: SENSIO, INC. c/o C T CORPORATION SYSTEM 28 LIBERTY STREET NEW YORK, NY 10005